

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/763,421	01/26/2004	Takashi Ooto	402954/SOEI	4716
23548 77590 0771625008 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			EXAMINER	
			ANYIKIRE, CHIKAODILI E	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/763,421 OOTO, TAKASHI Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE 2621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/12/2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6.8.10.16.18 and 22-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,9,11-15,17 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/763,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is responsive to application number (10763421) filed on January 26, 2004. Claims 1-21 are pending and have been examined.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/12/2008 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 2621

- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole (US 6,475,087) in view of Kutaragi et al (US 2002/0068634, Kutaragi).

As per claim 1, Cole discloses a gaming machine comprising:

a cabinet (Fig 4, element 22; Col 7 Ln 52-59);

a door openably and closably supported by the cabinet (Fig 4, element 34; Col 7 Ln 60-65);

a liquid crystal display unit which is supported by the door and which provides, at a front side of the liquid crystal display unit, an image associated with a game (Fig 4, element 190; Col 8 Ln 34-44);

a transparent member which is supported by the door and through which the image provided by the liquid crystal display unit is visible (Fig 4, element 66; Col 8 Ln 60-65), wherein the door includes

a frame partially located at a backside of the liquid crystal display unit (Col 8 Ln 16-27),

a cover located at the front side of the liquid crystal display unit, covering a peripheral portion of the liquid crystal display unit (Col 6 Ln 27-53), and having a central opening so that the front side of the liquid crystal display unit is exposed through the central opening and is visible through the transparent member (Col 8 Ln 8-55); and

a buffer provided between the liquid crystal display unit and the door (Fig 5, element 74: Col 8 Ln 20-44).

However, Cole does not explicitly teach a rubber buffer.

Art Unit: 2621

In the same field of endeavor, Kutaragi discloses a rubber buffer (paragraph [0094]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Cole with the stoppers of Kutaragi. The advantage is to prevent wear and tear on the display (paragraph [0094] Ln 3-7).

As per **claim 2**, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 1, wherein the transparent member is a glass plate or a touch panel (Fig 4, element 66; Col 8 Ln 34-44).

As per **claim 3**, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 1, wherein a plurality of buffers each being identical with the buffer are provided (Fig 5, element 74; Col 8 Ln 19-33).

However, Cole does not explicitly teach a rubber buffer.

In the same field of endeavor, Kutaragi discloses a rubber buffer (paragraph [0094]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Cole with the stoppers of Kutaragi. The advantage is to prevent wear and tear on the display (paragraph [0094] Ln 3-7).

As per claim 4, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 1,

the buffer (Fig 4, element 74) supports the liquid crystal display unit (Fig 4, element 190) and the transparent member (Fig 4, element 66) keeping a distance therebetween (Col 8 Ln 8-44).

However, Cole does not explicitly teach a rubber buffer.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,421
Art Unit: 2621

In the same field of endeavor, Kutaragi discloses a rubber buffer (paragraph f00941).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Cole with the stoppers of Kutaragi. The advantage is to prevent wear and tear on the display (paragraph [0094] Ln 3-7).

As per claim 5, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 1, wherein the buffer has a first groove in which the liquid crystal display unit is inserted and a second groove distant from the first groove in which the transparent member is inserted (Fig 3, elements 62-64; Col 8 Ln 26-54; the prior art discloses supports for the window and display, which would holds the window and display).

As per claim 7, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 4, wherein the frame has a recess in which the liquid crystal display unit held by the buffer is set (Fig 4 and 5; Col 8 Ln 8-55).

However, Cole does not explicitly teach a rubber buffer.

In the same field of endeavor, Kutaragi discloses a rubber buffer (paragraph [0094]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Cole with the stoppers of Kutaragi. The advantage is to prevent wear and tear on the display (paragraph [0094] Ln 3-7).

As per claim 9, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 8, wherein an end face of the liquid crystal display unit (Fig 5, element 190) has a hollow extending in a direction perpendicular to the end face (Col 8 Ln 6-65), and

Art Unit: 2621

the buffer (Fig 5, element 74) has a projection of which shape complementary corresponds to a shape of the hollow (Col 8 Ln 4-55); and

the projection is inserted in the hollow (Col 8 Ln 5-44).

However, Cole does not explicitly teach a rubber buffer.

In the same field of endeavor, Kutaragi discloses a rubber buffer (paragraph [0094]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Cole with the stoppers of Kutaragi. The advantage is to prevent wear and tear on the display (paragraph [0094] Ln 3-7).

Regarding claim 11, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 7 are applicable for claim 11.

As per **claim 12**, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 1, wherein the transparent member (Fig 4, element 66) has at least one comer, and the gaming machine further comprising a second buffer which covers the corner of the transparent member (Figs 4 and 5; Col 7 Ln 60 – Col 8 Ln 55).

Regarding claim 13, argument analogous to those presented for claim 1 applicable for claim 13.

Regarding claim 14, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 7 are applicable for claim 14.

As per claim 15, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 13, wherein the frame has a hole in which the buffer is set (Col 8 Ln 8-55).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 17, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 12 are applicable for claim 17.

As per **claim 19**, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 13, wherein the projection has a holding portion for holding the buffer, and the buffer is held by the holding portion (Fig 3, elements 62-64; Col 8 Ln 26-54; the prior art discloses supports for the window and display, which would holds the window and display).

As per claim 20, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 19, wherein the holding portion includes a cutout provided at a tip of the projection (Col 8 Ln 8-55),

the buffer (Fig 5, element 74) includes a groove which is shaped in such a way that the width of part of the buffer is equal to the width of the cutout and the width of the groove corresponds to the thickness of the projection (Col 8 Ln 5-44), and

the holding portion is set in the groove of the buffer (Fig 3, elements 62-64; Col 8 Ln 26-54; the prior art discloses supports for the window and display, which would holds the window and display).

However, Cole does not explicitly teach a rubber buffer.

In the same field of endeavor, Kutaragi discloses a rubber buffer (paragraph [0094]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Cole with the stoppers of Kutaragi. The advantage is to prevent wear and tear on the display (paragraph [0094] Ln 3-7).

Art Unit: 2621

As per **claim 21**, Cole discloses the gaming machine according to claim 18, wherein the frame includes an outer frame and an inner frame which is fixed to the outer frame; and the buffer is fixed to the inner frame (Figs 4 and 5; Col 8 Ln 44-65).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE whose telephone number is (571)270-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272 - 7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2621

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621 /CEA/